UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,472	03/01/2004	Karl Weber	Q79527	7541
23373 7590 08/18/2008 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			EXAMINER	
			ZHOU, YONG	
	SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2619	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/18/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Intonsions Cummons	10/788,472	WEBER, KARL			
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Yong Zhou	2619			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) <u>Yong Zhou</u> .	(3) <u>Nataliya Dvorson</u> .				
(2) Chirag G. Shah.	(4) <u>Falk Ewers</u> .				
Date of Interview: <u>12 August 2008</u> .					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	e]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.				
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .					
Identification of prior art discussed: Krause.					
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g	)⊠ was not reached. h)⊡ N	N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claim 1 was discussed with respect to prior art Krause. Regarding the art rejection to claim 1, the Examiner indicated that limiting the data transmission in phase 3 to user application data, distinguished from the control data, may overcome the reference, if the limitation has support in the original specification. However, no agreement was reached.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.					
/Yong Zhou/ Examiner, Art Unit 2619	8/12/2008				

Application No.

Applicant(s)